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Executive Summary

Overview

Almost half of U.S. institutional investors currently consider ESG factors when making investment decisions or are considering doing so in the future.

Callan’s 2019 ESG Survey, conducted from May to July 2019, reflects input from 89 unique U.S. institutional investors that were asked about their approach to environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors when evaluating investments. For this survey, ESG factors include socially responsible investing (SRI, including divestment), sustainable investing, responsible investing, impact investing, and other associated terms.

Our 2019 survey, the seventh we have conducted, found that U.S.-based institutional investors are increasingly incorporating ESG considerations into their investment decision-making process. The ratio of investors either incorporating or thinking about incorporating ESG was about half of the respondent pool in 2019.

Overall, incorporation of ESG factors into the investment decision-making process nearly doubled to 42% in 2019 compared to 22% in 2013. Our survey revealed an ongoing disparity in ESG adoption rates by investor type and size. Historically, endowments and foundations have consistently had the highest ESG adoption rates. Public plans have incorporated ESG factors into the investment decision-making process at a higher rate than their corporate counterparts.

- ESG was relatively new for most survey respondents: 62% of funds that utilized ESG began doing so in the past five years.

- Foundations reported the highest rate of ESG incorporation in 2018 at 64%, but fell to 44% in 2019. While 22% of foundations that did not utilize ESG are considering it, the top reason for not implementing was a focus on only purely financial factors in investment decision-making.

- 49% of public funds incorporated ESG in 2019, up from 15% in 2013.

- The survey respondents with more diverse boards were more likely to be incorporating ESG into investment decisions than those with less diversity as gauged by differences in gender, race, ethnicity, and age.
Callan's seventh annual ESG Survey presents trends on ESG adoption for U.S. institutional investors. The results reflect input from 89 unique organizations, and highlight growing adoption of ESG practices.

**Key Findings**

- **Most Common Implementation: Integration**
  - 51% of those that incorporated ESG considered ESG factors with every investment/manager selection

- **Diversity Effect**
  - Investors with more diverse boards/committees were more likely to incorporate ESG
  - 42% incorporated ESG factors into the investment decision-making process—up from 22% in 2013

- **36%** of defined contribution plans featured an ESG option in the plan lineup

- **50%** of large funds (> $20bn) have incorporated ESG factors into investment decisions

- **49%** of respondents that incorporated ESG plan to seek more, better, or different ESG data in the future

- **Investment managers & general consultants** were the most common sources of data to evaluate ESG strategies

- **44% foundations**

- **58% endowments**

- **19% corporate**

- **49% public**
Respondent Overview

89 institutional investors responded to the survey

42% of respondents were public plans, making them the largest plan type represented

24% of respondents were corporate plans, and around one-third are endowments or foundations

36% of respondents were “small” funds with less than $500 million in assets. The 2019 survey had fewer large funds than in previous years: 11% of 2019 respondents had more than $20 billion in assets, compared to 20% in 2018.

Note: charts in this report may not sum to 100% due to rounding.
Most respondents were from the government (31%), nonprofit (26%), education (12%), and health care (11%) sectors. “Other” includes: aerospace/defense, professional services, technology, and philanthropy.

Looking at ESG incorporation rates by sector, we note that education tops its peers at 55%.

Government, health care, and nonprofit industries all have higher rates of ESG adoption than other sectors that responded to our survey, including energy/utilities, manufacturing, insurance, and other.
ESG Adoption Rates

42% of respondents incorporated ESG factors into investment decisions in 2019, consistent with 2018 and nearly double the 22% we recorded when first conducting this survey in 2013. ESG adoption has steadily climbed in the U.S.

91% increase in respondents that have incorporated ESG factors into investment decisions from 2013 to 2019.

ESG adoption shows steady increase

- 2013: 22%
- 2014: 26%
- 2015: 29%
- 2016: 37%
- 2017: 37%
- 2018: 43%
- 2019: 42%
ESG Adoption Over Time

ESG is a relatively new phenomenon for most survey respondents.

62% of investors that utilize ESG began doing so in the past five years.

Throughout the survey, we note differences in implementation between early adopters of ESG (before 2015) and more recent adopters (2015-19).
ESG Adoption Rates by Investor Type

58% of endowments incorporated ESG factors into investment decisions, the 2019 survey found. Over time, endowments and foundations have incorporated ESG factors at a higher rate than other institutional investor types Callan has surveyed. Codifying a definition of ESG that is consistent with the organization’s overall goals and philosophy is often the first step in implementing ESG into investments; this can be a more straightforward process at mission-focused endowments and foundations than other investor types.

Defined benefit (DB) and defined contribution (DC) plans, both public and corporate combined, incorporated ESG at a rate of 35% and 36%, respectively (not pictured). This represents a shift for DC plans, which previously had much lower ESG utilization rates than DB plans.
ESG Adoption Rates by Investor Size

50% of the largest respondents (>20 bn) incorporated ESG factors into investment decisions in 2019. The largest institutional investors have incorporated ESG factors at the highest rate since the survey’s inception in 2013. Larger organizations tend to have more resources to facilitate ESG adoption. But size and resources are becoming less relevant in predicting ESG adoption.

50% of investors with between $500 million and $3 billion implemented ESG in 2019. The smallest funds (less than $500 million in assets) were also catching up (38%) as organizations don’t have to rely on internal resources to learn about and implement ESG. Most investors relied on third parties—investment managers and consultants—to provide ESG data to evaluate managers/investment strategies (see page 15).
ESG Adoption Rates by Region

52% of investors in the Northeast region surveyed in 2019 incorporated ESG into investment decisions.

Investor type and size, rather than region, tend to be more consistent factors in whether an investor will use ESG factors in investment decision-making.

In the seven years Callan has produced the ESG survey, we’ve observed varying regional trends in ESG adoption that suggest location plays little role in whether a fund will implement ESG. Since 2013, all regions have seen an increase in ESG adoption except for the Central U.S.

*Note the small sample size*
ESG and Board Demographics

Diversity matters
Survey respondents provided details on the demographics of their investment committees, boards, or other decision-making bodies. Most boards tended to be majority white males over the age of 40.

The more diverse boards were more likely to be incorporating ESG into investment decisions:

- Investors with 30% or more minorities (14 total) had an ESG adoption rate of 57%.
- Investors with 30% or more of the board under 40 (12 total) had an ESG adoption rate of 50%.
- Investors with 30% or more women on the board (44 total) incorporated ESG at a 39% rate, slightly lower than the overall population. But investors with 50% or more women on the board (17) reported an ESG incorporation rate of 53%.

Investors incorporating ESG factors into investment decisions: variations by board/investment committee makeup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>ESG Adoption Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All respondents</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%+ female</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%+ minority</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%+ under 40</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total respondents: Board/Committee demographic profile*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>ESG Adoption Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older than 40</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Younger than 40</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sample size note: all 89 respondent funds reported on percentage male; 55 and 54 responded as to percentage minority and aged under 40, respectively.
Looking Forward

Room to grow

12% of respondents that have not yet incorporated ESG into investment decisions are considering doing so in the future. This figure has fluctuated from 10-20% over time, suggesting that more investors will explore and implement ESG in the future.

By type, 22% of foundations, 16% of public plans, and 6% of corporate plans that have not incorporated ESG into investment decisions are considering doing so. None of the endowments surveyed that are not incorporating ESG are considering doing so. Endowments have the highest ESG incorporation rates (58%) in 2019’s survey.

If you have not incorporated ESG factors into investment decisions, are you considering it?

Share of respondents that have not incorporated ESG factors into investment decisions but are considering it (by investor type)
**Integration** is becoming the preferred method of implementation, particularly for early adopters of ESG: 64% of early adopters consider ESG factors with every investment.

The Principles for Responsible Investment defines ESG integration as “the explicit and systematic inclusion of ESG issues in investment analysis and investment decisions.”

Thematic ESG funds are separate from ESG integration, although they often do integrate ESG criteria into investment decisions. Thematic ESG funds often have a fund name that conveys which tenet of ESG is central to the investment thesis (e.g., Social Equity, Climate Change Aware, etc.)

For recent adopters, ESG education is the most common action (57%). Half of early adopters also pursue ESG education, signaling the importance of ongoing learning to keep up with changes in the field.

On average, early ESG adopters selected 5 implementation strategies to indicate how they are incorporating ESG, compared to 3 for the recent adopters.

*Multiple responses allowed*
As ESG integration is emerging as the most popular implementation method in the U.S., less than one-fifth of survey respondents separate their ESG investments.

16%

of investors who have incorporated ESG factors into the investment decision-making process made a distinct allocation to ESG investing. This is a common implementation approach for defined contribution plans; adding a standalone ESG option can be a direct way of offering plan participants a sustainable investment choice, for example.

Endowments and foundations also might utilize this approach by creating a carve-out of the main portfolio for targeted ESG investments, or a specific donor pool dedicated to an ESG theme. Out of 10 funds surveyed that responded to this question, only two maintained a separate portfolio 100% dedicated to ESG, reinforcing the notion that ESG integration is likely to be a more widely embraced implementation strategy going forward.

If your endowment or foundation receives new donations, do you maintain a separate portfolio 100% dedicated to ESG for donors that desire this type of investment strategy?
ESG Data Sources

Quantifying ESG

As ESG gains momentum in the investment industry, the issue of how to quantify and measure ESG in investments arises regularly.

Investors tend to use multiple sources for data to evaluate investment managers/strategies on their ESG capabilities and outcomes; 2019 survey respondents used 2 sources, on average. The investment manager and the general consultant were the most prevalent sources of information. Many managers incorporating ESG include strategy-specific metrics, such as carbon footprint, diversity statistics, or progress relative to a UN Sustainable Development Goal.

Early ESG adopters (implemented ESG before 2015) were more likely to use a general or specialty consultant than those recently adopting ESG.

Other ESG data sources included manager proxy voting records, staff, outsourced chief investment officer, and the Principles for Responsible Investment.

*Multiple responses allowed
ESG Implementation: To Avoid

While ESG integration is quickly becoming the most common implementation method for ESG, negative screening and divestment still play a role: 43% of early ESG adopters and 22% of recent adopters have incorporated a screening process, respectively, and 29% of early adopters and 17% of recent adopters have divested from an issue.

For all respondents incorporating ESG, tobacco was the most common area to divest from. For early adopters, divestment was spread across “other” areas (climate change-related sectors such as thermal coal and oil sands, and recreational cannabis and vaping), but alcohol and gambling were common areas to avoid as well.

*Multiple responses allowed

“Other” also includes pornography, abortion, fetal research, and for-profit education.
Impact investing, thematic ESG funds, and ESG integration can all target specific areas for investment that emphasize financial returns in addition to positive societal or environmental change.

Areas targeted for positive change from survey respondents included local economic benefit, the environment, clean tech, diversity/inclusion, poverty alleviation, and education.

“Environment” areas flagged for positive impact include clean energy, sustainable and regenerative agriculture, the transition to a low-carbon economy, water (access to and waste water solutions), and pollution. Additional “other” categories include general impact investing in private equity, ministry support, and church investment.
ESG and Defined Contribution Assets

Defined contribution (DC) plan assets are increasingly reflecting ESG incorporation.

36%

of DC plans surveyed (both public and corporate) said there was an ESG option in their plan lineup. For context, 18% of plans in Callan’s DC Index™ offered a thematic ESG fund option (fund name conveys ESG approach), up slightly from 16% in 2018.

While thematic ESG funds are increasing, so is the number of funds using ESG integration (i.e., employing ESG data and considerations in the investment analysis process), and this is a far more prevalent approach. Less than 1% of the 2,400+ fund options in the DC Index were thematic ESG options, but 20% of the funds indicated they integrate ESG into the investment process. And while only 18% of plans have added an ESG-themed fund to the lineup, the vast majority of plans (94%) had exposure to ESG-managed assets (i.e., at least one fund manager indicates they use ESG considerations in the investment process).

*Note the small sample size. Responses from 11 DC plans, 4 of which have an ESG fund in the lineup and one of which is also integrating ESG in other ways (integrated fund; consider ESG with all fund selection, pursuing education, etc.)

**Source: Callan DC Index™, first quarter 2019.
**Environmental Actions**

**27%**

We asked respondents that incorporate ESG what actions they have explored or taken specific to environmental concerns, such as climate change. The most common environmental action taken by those that have implemented ESG was to allocate a portion of assets to positive environmental impact strategies (27%).

**24%**

Shareholder advocacy was the second most common action taken around “E” (24%). Investors see company engagement—through proxy voting and other measures—as an effective tool to mitigate the worst effects of climate change.

**Carbon footprint** portfolio measurement is being explored and implemented by around 10-20% of ESG investors. Several global reporting initiatives related to climate change, such as the Task Force on Climate Change Financial Disclosures, are creating frameworks and standards for investors and companies to report on climate-related risks and opportunities.

*Multiple responses allowed*
65%
Most survey respondents implementing ESG have not joined any organization to support efforts at education, collaboration, and commitment around ESG issues (65%). Those that participated with third-party organizations did so with two groups, on average.

19%
The two most prevalent ESG-centric member organizations for survey respondents were the Principles for Responsible Investment and the Council of Institutional Investors (19% each).


*Multiple responses were allowed
Reasons for Incorporating ESG: Early vs. Recent Adopters

When comparing the early adopters’ motivations for incorporating ESG to the recent adopters, we note recent adopters are more likely to be addressing stakeholder concerns and to be focused on an improved risk profile.

Adoption Timeframes
Before 2015 (14) and after (23)

Reasons for incorporating ESG factors into the investment decision-making process*

- Fiduciary responsibility: 50% (Early), 57% (Recent)
- To align our portfolio with our organization’s values: 50% (Early), 65% (Recent)
- Stakeholder concerns (board members, advocacy groups, employees): 43% (Early), 61% (Recent)
- Improved risk profile: 29% (Early), 43% (Recent)
- To utilize our investment fund to make an impact (e.g., job creation, affordable housing): 29% (Early), 17% (Recent)
- Higher long-term returns: 29% (Early), 17% (Recent)

*Multiple responses allowed
Institutional investors in the U.S. adopted ESG for various reasons. The top reason across fund types was to align the portfolio with the organization’s values, which can be easier to achieve at some organizations, such as those with a mission statement that clearly articulates an ESG initiative.

54% of respondents looked to ESG to fulfill their fiduciary responsibility and to address stakeholder concerns—for endowments this was particularly relevant (86%).

According to respondents, stakeholders included student groups, donors, board members, investment staff, and employees.

Reasons for incorporating ESG factors into the investment decision-making process*

- To align our portfolio with our organization's values: 59%
- Fiduciary responsibility: 54%
- Stakeholder concerns: 54%
- Improved risk profile: 38%
- To utilize our investment fund to make an impact: 22%
- Higher long-term returns: 22%

* Multiple responses allowed
## Reasons Against ESG Incorporation

### 53%

of investors that are not incorporating ESG indicated they will not consider any factors that are not purely financial. Emerging research supports the notion that ESG issues can have material financial impacts in certain investment situations. For example, the CFA Institute "sees value in the incorporation of ESG data into the investment process, but it is agnostic on the value-investing argument more pertinent in the SRI community."

Other reasons for not implementing ESG:
- Limited or no participant interest/demand
- Perceived political activism/unintended political consequences
- Concerns of breach of fiduciary duty
- View that ESG is pushing a moral agenda
- Not legally required
- Leave it to managers to integrate ESG as appropriate
- Haven't yet considered

### 12%

of those that have not incorporated ESG into investment decision-making are considering doing so (page 12).

### Reasons for NOT incorporating ESG factors into the investment decision-making process*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>All respondents</th>
<th>Foundations</th>
<th>Endowments</th>
<th>Corporate</th>
<th>Public</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>My fund will not consider any factors that are not purely financial in our investment decision-making</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of research tying ESG to outperformance</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unclear value proposition</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't know how ESG factors would fit in the fund's strategic asset allocation</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESG under consideration</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Multiple responses allowed
Looking Forward

49% of respondents that have incorporated ESG factors in investment decision-making will seek better quality, more detailed, or different ESG data in the coming years.

More than one-third (35%) will broaden the scope of ESG incorporation. Specifically, respondents indicated they will look to incorporate ESG by:

- Adding real assets and fixed income
- Seeking managers with better ESG processes and outcomes
- Using new or different reporting frameworks
- Including more impact investing
- Enhancing engagement and monitoring
- Developing policies (e.g., investment beliefs, proxy voting)

More than one-quarter (27%) of those incorporating ESG have no changes planned.

*Multiple responses allowed*
Product Offerings

We asked all survey respondents where they would like to see more ESG-focused product offerings. Choosing from an array of asset classes, 43% of survey participants (38 funds) responded, including those that had and had not integrated ESG.

Most respondents (62%) not incorporating ESG did not have any asset classes where they’d like to see more ESG-focused products.

Those implementing ESG highlighted several asset classes for more product offerings:
- Private equity and real estate (38%) topped the list
- U.S. equity and infrastructure (35%) were close behind
- Hedge funds (30%), global equity (30%), emerging market equity (27%), and U.S. fixed income (27%) were all areas of interest

*Multiple responses allowed
**Conclusions**

**U.S. ESG Adoption Continued:** An increasing number of investors incorporated ESG into the investment process in the U.S. in 2019.

- Since 2013, Callan’s survey has observed a steady increase in the portion of funds adopting ESG. This trend varies from year to year, climbing more at times and leveling off in other years (as from 2018 to 2019).

- ESG adoption is relatively new for many U.S. investors—62% of those surveyed in 2019 began implementing ESG in the last five years.

- The most frequently cited reason for incorporating ESG among respondents was to align the portfolio with the organization’s values.

- Conversely, the most frequently cited reason for not incorporating ESG among respondents was the fund’s decision not to consider any factors that are not purely financial.

**ESG Integration Rose as Preferred Implementation:** ESG integration is the systematic inclusion of financially material ESG factors in investment analysis and decision-making.

- Callan’s surveys consistently find a portion of investors (around 10-20%) not yet incorporating ESG factors, but they are actively considering doing so in the future. This brings the ratio of those either currently incorporating or thinking about incorporating ESG to about half of the respondent pool of U.S. institutional investors (49%) in 2019.

- Thematic ESG funds, divestment, and negative screens play a role in ESG implementation, but a growing percentage of investors—particularly the early ESG adopters—have integrated ESG across investment strategies. Survey respondents considered ESG factors with every investment selection, communicated the importance of ESG to investment managers, and hired managers that incorporate ESG into the investment strategy.

**Investors implementing ESG would also like to see more ESG-focused products in alternatives, alongside publicly traded asset classes.**

- Private equity and real estate (38%) topped the list of strategies respondents would like to see more of as related to ESG.

- U.S. equity and infrastructure (35%) were close behind.

- Hedge funds (30%), global equity (30%), emerging market equity (27%), and U.S. fixed income (27%) were also areas of interest.
About the Survey

Survey Methodology

Callan's 2019 ESG Survey is the seventh edition highlighting current practices and opinions surrounding environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors among various types of U.S. institutional investors. Respondents provided input via online questionnaires.

We broke respondents into two primary groups for analysis: those that had and had not incorporated ESG factors into the investment decision-making process. Organizations incorporating ESG factors answered different questions than those not incorporating ESG factors. In most instances, statistics were calculated using this subset of respondents as the denominator. In a few cases, the denominator was smaller, as a subset of the primary group (e.g., only the defined contribution plans implementing ESG). In these situations, we describe the specific respondent group upon which statistics are calculated in the text that accompanies the particular exhibit. Multiple responses were allowed for many questions, as described in relevant footnotes.
About the Authors

**Shane Blanton** is an assistant vice president in Callan’s Published Research Group, covering business analytics. He is responsible for data analysis and visualization to support Callan’s research endeavors. Previously Shane was a member of the Analytical Solutions Group for three years, training Callan’s clients to use PEP software.

Prior to joining Callan in 2012, Shane worked as an account manager for an integrated circuits packaging manufacturer and as a trading assistant to a proprietary options firm. Shane attended Carnegie Mellon University, where he earned a BS in business administration.

**Anna West** is a Senior Vice President and the ESG Practice Leader at Callan. She oversees the firm’s efforts to address clients’ educational interests and needs in environmental, social, and governance (ESG) thought leadership, research, education, implementation, and related consulting work. And as chair of Callan’s ESG Committee, she works with colleagues across disciplines to assist clients and implement best practices. Anna is also a member of Callan’s Inclusion and Institute Advisory Committees. She is a shareholder of the firm.

Anna joined Callan in August 2006 and was previously co-manager of its Published Research Group and, until 2018, director of the Callan Institute, the firm’s platform for research, education, and dialogue. Prior to Callan, she worked for Vail Resorts. She earned an MBA from the University of San Francisco and a BA in international business and French from Washington University.
About Callan
Callan was founded as an employee-owned investment consulting firm in 1973. Ever since, we have empowered institutional clients with creative, customized investment solutions that are backed by proprietary research, exclusive data, and ongoing education. Today, Callan advises on more than $2 trillion in total fund sponsor assets, which makes it among the largest independently owned investment consulting firms in the U.S. Callan uses a client-focused consulting model to serve pension and defined contribution plan sponsors, endowments, foundations, independent investment advisors, investment managers, and other asset owners. Callan has six offices throughout the U.S. For more information, please visit www.callan.com.

About the Callan Institute
The Callan Institute, established in 1980, is a source of continuing education for those in the institutional investment community. The Institute conducts conferences and workshops and provides published research, surveys and newsletters. The Institute strives to present the most timely and relevant research and education available so our clients and our associates stay abreast of important trends in the investments industry.

For more information about this report, please contact:
Anna West at westa@callan.com

© 2019 Callan LLC

Certain information herein has been compiled by Callan and is based on information provided by a variety of sources believed to be reliable for which Callan has not necessarily verified the accuracy or completeness of or updated. This report is for informational purposes only and should not be construed as legal or tax advice on any matter. Any investment decision you make on the basis of this report is your sole responsibility. You should consult with legal and tax advisers before applying any of this information to your particular situation. Reference in this report to any product, service or entity should not be construed as a recommendation, approval, affiliation or endorsement of such product, service or entity by Callan. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. This report may consist of statements of opinion, which are made as of the date they are expressed and are not statements of fact. The Callan Institute (the "Institute") is, and will be, the sole owner and copyright holder of all material prepared or developed by the Institute. No party has the right to reproduce, revise, resell, disseminate externally, disseminate to subsidiaries or parents, or post on internal web sites any part of any material prepared or developed by the Institute, without the Institute’s permission. Institute clients only have the right to utilize such material internally in their business.